

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/831,458 05/08/2001 PF-0636 USN Y. Tom Tang 4361 22428 7590 12/08/2004 EXAMINER FOLEY AND LARDNER O HARA, EILEEN B SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20007 1646

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistance	09/831,458	TANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eileen O'Hara	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided provided for reply will, by some status of the provided provided for reply will, by some status of the provided provide	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months agree the criod will expire the course the criod will expire the criod will expir	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 Santomber 2004	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	OWance except for formal ma	tters prosecution as to the man't it
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims		, 100 010, 210,
4)	is/are withdrawn from conside ejected. d to.	deration.
Application Papers	aror election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing (s) be held in abeyang rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		d Office Action of John PTO-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
ttachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/07/04. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office DL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 11202004

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 21-42 are pending in the instant application. Claims 21 and 30 have been amended as requested by Applicant in the Paper filed Sept. 7, 2004.

Claims 32-34 and 38-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 21-31 and 35-37 are currently under examination.

Withdrawn Objections and Rejections

2. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 37 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 37 encompasses a method for treating a disease or condition associated with decreased expression of functional HCSRP, comprising administering to a patient in need of such treatment a composition comprising the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 12, a biologically active fragment thereof that binds

Art Unit: 1646

an extracellular ligand, or a polypeptide comprising at least 95% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 12 that binds an extracellular ligand.

Applicants have overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, as far as the protein of SEQ ID NO: 12 has been demonstrated to have the activities of binding ICAM3 and being a virus attachment and presentation factor, and so has a specific and substantial utility and is enabled for use for those activities. However, claim 37 is drawn to a method of treating a disease or condition associated with decreased expression of the functional protein of SEQ ID NO: 12, comprising administering to a patient in need of such treatment a composition comprising the claimed polypeptides. However, the specification does not disclose any disease or condition associated with decreased expression of the protein of SEQ ID NO: 12. There are many factors considered when determining if the disclosure satisfies the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is undue. These factors include, but are not limited to: 1) nature of the invention, 2) state of the prior art, 3) relative skill of those in the art, 4) level of predictability in the art, 5) existence of working examples, 6) breadth of claims, 7) amount of direction or guidance by the inventor, and 8) quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (FED. Cir. 1988). It is acknowledged that the level of skill in the art is high. However, the prior art does not teach any disease or condition associated with decreased expression of CD209L (also known as DC-SIGNR and L-SIGN), and the specification does not provide any working examples of such diseases or conditions, and little guidance. There are no examples of altered expression or correlation with any disease or disorder. Thus, the specification fails to teach the skilled artisan how to use the polypeptides therapeutically without resorting to undue experimentation. The

Art Unit: 1646

specification has not provided the person of ordinary skill in the art the guidance necessary to be able to use the polynucleotide for the above stated purposes.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to determine if the polypeptides could be used therapeutically, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding same, lack of working examples and the teachings of the prior art and the complex nature of the invention, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to use the claimed invention. What Applicant has provided is a mere wish or plan and an invitation to experiment.

3.2 Claims 21, 23, 26, 27, 28, 30, 35 and 37 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record in the previous office actions, Paper No. 15 at pages 8-10 and Paper No. 18, at pages 14-15, the Paper mailed May 4, 2004 at pages 17-21, and below.

Applicants traverse the rejection on pages 12-13 of the response, and have amended the claims to recite that the polypeptide binds an extracellular ligand, and point to pages 1 (binding ligand) and pages 20, 21, and 22 (95% sequence identity) of the specification for support for the amendments. Applicants also assert that the specification does provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus, and point to Table 2 which recites structural features common to the genus such as potential phosphorylation sites, potential glycosylation sites and various motifs, signature sequences and protein domains.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. The specification teaches a general binding to ligands or other proteins, and does not disclose the specific ligand the protein of SEQ ID NO: 12 would bind to. Therefore, the specification does not contemplate what ligand the protein binds to, and the specification does not provide adequate support for that activity. For these reasons, the rejection is maintained.

It is believed that all pertinent arguments have been answered.

Conclusion

- 4.1 Claims 21, 23, 26-28, 30, 35 and 37 are rejected.
- 4.2 Claims 22, 24, 25, 29 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1646

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll

free).

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER